



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,730	10/25/2000	Oguz Tanrikulu	2376.2001-000 3000		
21005	7590 04/11/2005		EXAMINER		
	I, BROOK, SMITH &	HAROLD, JEFFEREY F			
530 VIRGINI. P.O. BOX 913		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CONCORD,	MA 01742-9133	2644			
		DATE MAILED: 04/11/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/696,73	30	TANRIKULU ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Jefferey F	Harold	2644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exterester - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply will be office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no evolution in the state riod will apply and will attention the state riod will apply and will attention to the apply and will attention to the apply and will attention to the apply and will attent at the apply and will attent attention to the apply and will attent attention to the apply and will attent attention to the apply attention to the app	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	6 August 2004					
	a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims		·				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4)  Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,17,19,24,25,27,31,32,34,36,40,58,60-63,65-69 and 71-92</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) <u>6-16,18,20-23,26,28-30,33,35,37-39,41-57,59,64,70 and 74</u> is/are objected to.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Assault:	A/a)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	<b>D-152)</b>		

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,3-17,19-25,27-34,36-40,42,43,45,46,48,49,51-58,60-63 and 65-92.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,730 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

### Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of **claims 2-5, 18-22, 28 and 36** is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Park et al. and applicant's admitted prior art.

Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 17, 24, 25, 31, 32, 34, 40, 58, 63, 68, 69, 73, 77, 78, 81, 82, 85, 86, 89 and 90 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Park et al (United States Patent 5,392,348), hereinafter referenced as Park.

Regarding **claim 1**, Park discloses a DTMF detector having sample rate decimation and adaptive tone detection. In addition, Park discloses a process for determining in an audio analog signal, which reads on "electrical signal", a presence of sinusoids used to encode disabled digits, the process consisting of: splitting the audio analog signal in to subbands of 0-1 kHz and 1-2 kHz being at a sampling frequency of less that twice the highest frequency used to encode dialed digits; and at the sampling frequency, analyzing energies within the subbands to determine the presence of the

Application/Control Number: 09/696,730

Art Unit: 2644

sinusoids, as disclosed at column 1, lines 36-44; column 8, line 44 through column 9, line 2 and exhibited in figures 1-3.

Regarding claims 17, 24, 25, 31, 32, 34, 40, 58, 63, 68, 69, 73, 77, 78, 81, 82, 85, 86, 89 and 90, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth above in the rejection of claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 4, 5, 19, 27, 36, 60-62, 65-67, 71, 72, 75, 76, 79, 80, 83, 84, 87, 88, 91 and 92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park in view of applicant's admitted prior art.

Regarding **claim 3**, Park discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1), however, Park fails to disclose filtering the electrical signal using a power symmetric infinite impulse response filter. However, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art to provide filtering the electrical signal using a power symmetric infinite impulse response filter, as taught by applicant's admitted prior art.

In addition, applicant's admitted prior art, "Design and Discrete Re-optimization of All-pass Based Power Symmetric IIR Filters" discloses highly selective low-pass power symmetric IIR filters which are well suited of sub-band decomposition in applications such as acoustic echo cancellation.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,730

Art Unit: 2644

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Park by specifically providing filtering the electrical signal using a power symmetric infinite impulse response filter, as taught by applicant's admitted prior art, for the purpose of reduced computational complexity.

Regarding **claim 4**, Park and applicant's admitted prior art, the combination, disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3), however the combination fails to disclose implementation in polyphase form. However, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art to provide implementation in a polyphase form, as taught by applicant's admitted prior.

In addition, applicant's admitted prior art, "Adaptive Signal Processing Algorithms with Accelerated Convergence and Noise Immunity" discloses analysis and synthesis blocks of al-pass polyphase networks.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination by specifically providing implementation in polyphase form, as taught by applicant's admitted prior art, for the purpose of reduced computational complexity.

Regarding **claim 5**, Park and applicant's admitted prior art, the combination, disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 3), however the combination fails to disclose all-pass sections implemented in compact realizations. However, the examiner maintains that it was well known in the art to all-pass sections implemented in compact realizations, as taught by applicant's admitted prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,730

Art Unit: 2644

In addition, applicant's admitted prior art, "Digital All-pass Networks" discloses all-pass section implemented in various realization.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination by specifically providing all-pass sections implemented in compact realizations, as taught by applicant's admitted prior art, for the purpose of reduced computational complexity.

Regarding claims 19, 27, 36, 60-62, 65-67, 71, 72, 75, 76, 79, 80, 83, 84, 87, 88, 91 and 92 they are interpreted and thus rejected for the reasons set forth above in the rejection of claims 3-5.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6-16, 18, 20-23, 26, 28-30, 33, 35, 37-39, 41-57, 59, 64, 70, and 74 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferey F Harold whose telephone number is 571-272-7519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh H Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jefferey F Harold

Examiner

Art Unit 2644

JFH

April 5, 2005